UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,759 | 05/05/2006 | Ralf Esser | 22419-00009-US 1441 | |
| | 7590 04/02/200 OVE LODGE & HUT | EXAMINER | | |
| 1875 EYE STR | EET, N.W. | CHIU, RALEIGH W | | |
| SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO | N, DC 20006 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3711 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 04/02/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/578,75 | 59 | ESSER, RALF | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Raleigh W | '. Chiu | 3711 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | on appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evo ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app | IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 09 January 200 | 9 | | | | | |
| · | | This action is n | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| <u>ا</u> | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| ′= | Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-19 and 24-29</u> is/are reject | eted | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>9 and 20-23</u> is/are objected to. | nou. | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election re | equirement. | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | • | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | • | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of loops (claim 9) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 2, 3/1 and 3/2, 4-8, 10-19 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (USPN 4,932,705) as previously applied.

Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 15, 18, 19, Figure 1 of Miller shows a flexible tailgate 1 corresponding to the recited net. The flexible sheet includes slits 20 that are considered to provide holes and thereby a mesh structure to the tailgate. Also, see column 2, lines 16-39. Slits 20 provide the recited holes with a continuous outer edge where the top outer edge of the hole is coincident with the top of flap 16. Regarding the area ratio of holes to foil of 3:1 or more, Miller provides a tailgate that has free air flow. One of ordinary skill would realize that the area ratio would directly affect air flow. Discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable has been held to be within the capabilities of the person of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, by routine experimentation, to provide Miller with any reasonable area ratio, including the recited 3:1 or more, in order to obtain optimum air flow.

Regarding claim 2, Figure 1 further shows indicia 23 printed on the sheet. Also, see column 2, lines 37-39.

Regarding claims 3/1, 3/2 and 29, although Figure 1 shows rectangular holes, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the Miller holes essentially square, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 7, corner straps 5,6,7,8 correspond to the recited fixing means.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, the arcuate reinforcements 27,28 correspond to the recited loop.

Regarding claim 11, buckles 31 correspond to the recited lugs.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the Miller tailgate from plastic in view of the universal use of plastic in today's technology.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, as Miller require the material to a substantially ineals flexible sheet of membrane material, the specific type of material is not deemed to be critical but whose selection would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art so long as it is capable of withstanding the recognized tailgate stresses.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Miller preprints the indicia and the holes are cut. See column 2, lines 37-38.

Regarding claims 24-28, the Miller tailgate is considered to be inherently capable of being used as a sports net.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9/7, 9/8 and 20-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim, can be reached on (571) 272-4463.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

It is noted that all practice before the Office is in writing (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.2) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations

Art Unit: 3711

(37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority of Petitioner's/Caller action(s).

/Raleigh W. Chiu/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3711 Page 6

RWC:dei:feif 26 March 2009